From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORIT		PCT		
To: LE VAGUERESE Sylvain GLAVERBEL - Centre R. & D. Department Intellectual Property Rue de l'Aurore 2 B-6040 Jumet BELGIQUE		OPRIETE -06- 2004 USTRIELLE Date of mailing	WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)	7/8;04
	(day month year) 07/06/2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 4283TELEDISK		REPLY DUE within 2 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing		
International application No.	International filing date	(day month year)	Priority date (day/mont	h/year)
PCT/EP03/50745	22/10/2003		22/10/2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC				
C03C17/00				
Applicant GLAVERBEL et al.				
1. This written opinion is the first drawn to	ip by this International P	reliminary Examining	Authority.	
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: I X Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to repty to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rule 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments, see Rule 66.6. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.				
If no reply is filed, the international pro-		ort will be established	· ·	
examination report must be established		22/02	/2005	sches Patentame
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer	E	io i
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5236: Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2		Examiner Formalities officer (incl. extension of tim Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2	te limits)	Ollice europe
WO-RT: PROS		•		-√ne eu-

WRITTEN OPINION

Best Available Copy

I. Basis of the opinion

- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.